

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/615,419	07/09/2003	Bajko Gabor	56943.00299	7976
32294 7590 95728/2008 SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			HOANG, DANIEL L	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA 22182-6212		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/615,419 GABOR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DANIEL L. HOANG 2136 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/31/07. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10.12-22.24 and 26-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10.12-22.24 and 26-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/615,419

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

Applicant's arguments filed 12/31/07 have been considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues the following:

a) Pirttimaa fails to disclose or suggest "said prefix value referring to a portion of a first internet protocol address associated with the first node; creating a security association between the first node and the

second node based on the prefix value". More specifically, applicant argues that Pirttimaa teaches that

the prefix value refers to the whole IP address as opposed to just a portion.

b) Pirttimaa fails to disclose or suggest "the security association is valid for a plurality of different internet

protocol addresses, each of said plurality of internet protocol address including said portion of the first

internet protocol address to which the prefix value refers".

In regards to a), examiner respectfully disagrees. As per paragraph 42 of the Pirttimaa reference, it can

be seen that "P-CSCF 30 performs an address comparison in which an IP address or at least a part of the

IP address is compared to an IP address indicated in a header of the SIP message". As can be seen,

Pirttimaa teaches that a portion of the IP address can be used to set up the security association.

In regards to b), examiner respectfully disagrees. As can be seen in paragraphs 4 and 9, each subscriber

has a private user identity (IMPI) which is assigned by a home network. When a subscriber is roaming,

the system taught by Pirttimaa allows the user to authenticate back to the home network through the use

of P-CSCF and I-CSCF which allow the subscriber to register a roaming IP address to its own IMPI.

Thus, it is clear that the security association may be valid for a plurality of IP addresses.

Based on the above responses to applicant's arguments, the previous office action's rejections are

maintained.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/615,419

Art Unit: 2136

CLAIMS PRESENTED

Claims 1-10, 12-22, 24 and 26-37 are presented.

CLAIM REJECTIONS

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filled in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-10, 12-22 and 26, 27, 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pirttimaa (US PGP No. 20030154400).

As per claim 1 and 13 and 26-27, 29-32, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method comprising:

forwarding a prefix value from a first node to a second node in a packet switched environment, said prefix value referring to a portion of a internet protocol address associated with the first node;

[see paragraph 40, 42] UE140 is interpreted as the first node, P-CSCF is interpreted as the second node, the SIP register message with address included is interpreted as the prefix value being forwarded.

creating a security association between the first node and the second node based on the prefix value;

[see paragraph 41]

Application/Control Number: 10/615,419 Page 4

Art Unit: 2136

wherein the security association is valid for a plurality of different internet protocol addresses, each of said plurality of internet protocol addresses including said portion of the first internet protocol address to which the prefix value refers.

[see response to arguments presented above]

As per claim 2 and 14, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the packet switched environment is a IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS) of a 3rd generation (3G) network.

[see paragraph 2]

As per claim 3 and 15, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the first node is User Equipment (UE).

[see rejection of claim 1]

As per claim 4 and 16, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second node is a Proxy Call State Control Function (P-CSCF)

[see rejection of claim 1, "P-CSCF"]

As per claim 5 and 17 and 33-37, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the message is a protocol message.

[see rejection of claim 1, "SIP Register"]

As per claim 6 and 18, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 5, wherein the protocol is a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP).

[see rejection of claim 5]

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/615,419
Art Unit: 2136

As per claim 7 and 19, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the message is a SIP REGISTER message.

[see rejection of claim 1, "SIP Register"]

As per claim 8 and 20, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the prefix value is included in a header of the message.

[see rejection of claim 1 wherein the SIP register message includes the address in the header.]

As per claim 9 and 21, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 8, wherein the header is a Security-Client header.

(see paragraph 42)

As per claim 10 and 22, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the prefix value is included in an extension parameter of the Security-Client header.

[see rejection of claim 8]

As per claim 12 and 24, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the prefix value is allocated by a Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN).

[see paragraph 4]

As per claim 28, Pirttimaa teaches:

A method as claimed in claim 1, wherein the forwarding of the prefix value from the first node to the second node comprises forward the prefix value in a message.

[see rejection of claim 1 wherein the prefix value is sent in a SIP request message]

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/615,419

Art Unit: 2136

POINTS OF CONTACT

*. Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

*. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel L. Hoang whose telephone number is 571-270-1019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor.

Nasser Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, ostated the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (foll-free).

/Daniel L. Hoang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2136

/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2136